AN EMPIRICAL STUDY ON EMPLOYEE SATISFACTION, BEHAVIOR, AND PERFORMANCE: INSIGHTS FROM SRI NIRMALA POWER POINT, HYDERABAD

Mrs. B. Aruna
Assistant Professor, School of Management Studies,
Guru Nanak Institutions Technical Campus, Hyderabad

Dr. Chokkamreddy Prakash
Assistant Professor, School of Management Studies,
Guru Nanak Institutions Technical Campus, Hyderabad

Abstract: **This study explores the relationship between employee satisfaction, behavior, and job performance within Sri Nirmala Power Point, Hyderabad. By analyzing data from 95 employees, the research identifies key factors contributing to job satisfaction and their impact on work-related outcomes. The findings reveal that a significant portion of employees regularly meet their goals and take initiative, while areas such as problem-solving, adaptability, time management, and communication skills present opportunities for improvement. The study underscores the importance of a positive work environment and effective feedback mechanisms in fostering employee engagement and productivity. It concludes with recommendations for enhancing organizational culture and employee well-being, ultimately contributing to the company's overall success.**

Keywords: **Employee Satisfaction, Job Performance, Organizational Culture, Employee Behavior, Proactive Work Environment, Feedback Mechanisms.**

I. INTRODUCTION

Employee satisfaction, behavior, and performance are critical pillars that significantly influence an organization's success. In today's competitive business environment, organizations must prioritize understanding and enhancing these elements to maintain a productive and motivated workforce. This introduction delves into the interconnected nature of employee satisfaction, behavior, and performance, providing a comprehensive overview of their significance in organizational success.

The Importance of Employee Satisfaction
Employee satisfaction is a measure of how content and fulfilled employees are with their jobs. It encompasses various aspects, including job roles, work environment, compensation, relationships with colleagues, and opportunities for growth and development. High levels of employee satisfaction are often linked to positive outcomes such as increased productivity, reduced turnover rates, and enhanced organizational commitment.

Satisfied employees are more likely to exhibit loyalty towards their organization, contributing to a stable and cohesive work environment. When employees are content with their jobs, they tend to invest more effort into their work, resulting in higher quality output and greater efficiency. Moreover, satisfied employees are less likely to seek employment elsewhere, reducing the costs associated with recruitment and training of new staff.

Employee satisfaction also plays a crucial role in shaping organizational culture. A positive work environment, where employees feel valued and supported, fosters a culture of collaboration, innovation, and continuous improvement. This, in turn, enhances the organization's ability to adapt to changes, address challenges, and seize new opportunities.

Understanding Employee Behavior
Employee behavior refers to the actions and conduct of individuals within the workplace. It is influenced by a multitude of factors, including personal values, job satisfaction, organizational culture, leadership, and interpersonal relationships. Understanding employee behavior is essential for creating a work environment that promotes positive attitudes, collaboration, and high performance.

Employee behavior can be categorized into various types, including task performance, organizational citizenship

behavior (OCB), counterproductive work behavior (CWB), and adaptive behavior. Task performance involves the execution of duties and responsibilities that are directly related to an employee's job role. High levels of task performance are indicative of an employee's commitment and competence in their role.

Organizational citizenship behavior refers to voluntary actions that go beyond an employee's job requirements, contributing to the overall well-being and effectiveness of the organization. These behaviors include helping colleagues, taking initiative, and showing flexibility in the face of challenges. OCB is often driven by a strong sense of job satisfaction and organizational commitment.

Counterproductive work behavior, on the other hand, includes actions that are harmful to the organization or its members. Examples of CWB include absenteeism, workplace aggression, and sabotage. Such behaviors are typically associated with low job satisfaction, poor management practices, and a toxic work environment.

Adaptive behavior is the ability of employees to adjust to changes in the workplace, such as new technologies, processes, or organizational structures. In today's rapidly evolving business landscape, the ability to adapt is crucial for both individual and organizational success. Employees who exhibit strong adaptive behavior are often more resilient, resourceful, and capable of thriving in dynamic work environments.

The Link Between Employee Satisfaction and Behavior
There is a strong interrelationship between employee satisfaction and behavior. Satisfied employees are more likely to engage in positive behaviors that benefit the organization, such as organizational citizenship behavior and high task performance. Conversely, employees who are dissatisfied with their jobs may exhibit counterproductive work behavior, which can undermine the organization's goals and objectives.

For instance, an employee who feels appreciated and fairly compensated is more likely to go above and beyond their job requirements, contributing to a positive work environment and enhancing overall organizational performance. On the other hand, an employee who feels undervalued or overworked may become disengaged, leading to decreased productivity, absenteeism, or even active resistance to organizational goals.

Organizations that prioritize employee satisfaction by providing a supportive work environment, fair compensation, and opportunities for growth are more likely to foster positive employee behavior. This, in turn, creates a virtuous cycle where satisfied employees contribute to a positive organizational culture, which further enhances employee satisfaction and performance.

Employee Performance: A Key Indicator of Organizational Success
Employee performance refers to the effectiveness with which employees carry out their job duties and contribute to organizational goals. It is a critical indicator of an organization's overall health and success. High-performing employees are essential for achieving strategic objectives, maintaining competitive advantage, and driving innovation.

Employee performance is influenced by a variety of factors, including individual competencies, motivation, work environment, and leadership. Competencies such as skills, knowledge, and experience play a crucial role in determining an employee's ability to perform their job effectively. However, even the most skilled employees may underperform if they lack motivation or are working in a poorly managed environment.

Motivation is a key driver of employee performance. Employees who are motivated by intrinsic factors, such as personal growth and job satisfaction, are more likely to perform at a high level. Extrinsic factors, such as rewards and recognition, can also enhance motivation, but their impact may be short-lived if not accompanied by a supportive and engaging work environment.

The work environment itself is a significant determinant of employee performance. A positive work environment that promotes collaboration, innovation, and open communication fosters high performance. Conversely, a toxic work environment characterized by poor management, lack of resources, and interpersonal conflicts can hinder employee performance and lead to burnout.

Leadership is another critical factor influencing employee performance. Effective leaders inspire and guide their teams towards achieving organizational goals. They provide clear direction, set realistic expectations, and offer support and recognition. Employees who feel supported and valued by their leaders are more likely to perform at their best.

The Interconnection Between Satisfaction, Behavior, and Performance
The interconnection between employee satisfaction, behavior, and performance is both complex and dynamic. Employee satisfaction influences behavior, which in turn affects performance. High levels of job satisfaction are associated with positive employee behavior, such as organizational citizenship behavior, which enhances overall performance. Conversely, dissatisfaction can lead to negative behaviors, such as counterproductive work behavior, which undermines performance.

Moreover, employee performance can also influence satisfaction and behavior. Employees who consistently perform well are likely to experience a sense of accomplishment and job satisfaction, reinforcing positive behaviors. On the other hand, employees who struggle to meet performance expectations may experience frustration

and dissatisfaction, leading to disengagement and negative behaviors.

Organizations that recognize and address the interconnected nature of satisfaction, behavior, and performance are better equipped to create a work environment that fosters high employee engagement and productivity. By prioritizing employee satisfaction, promoting positive behavior, and supporting high performance, organizations can create a sustainable and successful business model.

II.   REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Tuzunkan, D. (2018) This study explores the direct correlation between job satisfaction and employee performance. The findings suggest that higher job satisfaction leads to increased employee performance, particularly in roles requiring high levels of motivation and engagement.

Rauf, A., & Khan, M. (2019) This review paper synthesizes various studies on job satisfaction and its effects on performance. It highlights the importance of intrinsic and extrinsic factors in determining employee satisfaction and their subsequent impact on work performance.

Vandenberghe, C., & Tremblay, M. (2020) This research examines how organizational culture and leadership styles influence employee behavior and performance. The study emphasizes that supportive leadership and a positive culture significantly enhance employee behavior and performance outcomes.

Brewster, C., Chung, C., & Sparrow, P. (2021) This article discusses the global dimensions of employee behavior, particularly in multinational settings. It highlights how cultural differences and global management practices affect employee behavior and performance.

Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2018) This paper reviews the Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) theory and its implications for employee satisfaction. The authors discuss how job demands and resources interact to influence employee satisfaction and performance.

Harter, J. K., Schmidt, F. L., & Hayes, T. L. (2020) This meta-analysis examines the relationship between employee satisfaction, engagement, and various business outcomes, providing comprehensive evidence on how these factors are interrelated and their impact on organizational performance.

Nielsen, K., & Daniels, K. (2022) This review highlights recent developments in work practices, including remote work and flexible schedules, and their effects on employee well-being and performance.

Kuvaas, B., & Dysvik, A. (2023) This longitudinal study investigates how employee perceptions of human resource practices affect job satisfaction, organizational commitment, and performance, providing insights into the effectiveness of HR interventions.

Need for the Study
The behavior and sensitivity of employees towards their work play a vital role in organizational success. When workers are satisfied, the organization can enhance its productivity and competitiveness. The level of job satisfaction among employees greatly influences how they perform their tasks, often resulting in a positive and motivated work environment. Satisfied employees tend to work more effectively and efficiently. Organizational culture serves as a framework for understanding the factors affecting employees, their work preferences, and overall productivity.

Significance of the Study
Employee satisfaction measures how content individuals are with their jobs, which impacts organizational outcomes such as citizenship behavior, absenteeism, and turnover intention. Job satisfaction partially moderates the relationship between personality traits and deviant work behavior. Research consistently shows a positive correlation between job satisfaction and performance. Satisfied employees tend to excel in their roles, leading to higher overall job satisfaction. This highlights the significant connection between job satisfaction and employee performance, emphasizing that "all work and no play makes Jack a dull boy," or conversely, "a contented worker is a productive worker."

Scope of the Study
The study enables employers to evaluate employee satisfaction levels within their organization, providing valuable insights into the workforce's overall well-being. Understanding job satisfaction is crucial as it directly influences employee behavior, which in turn affects organizational performance. By assessing these factors, employers can identify areas for improvement, fostering a more positive work environment that promotes productivity and efficiency. This evaluation not only helps in retaining talent but also in aligning employee goals with organizational objectives, ultimately enhancing the company's overall success.

Objectives of the Study
- To analyze employee behavior towards their work.
- To identify employee behavior in relation to job satisfaction.
- To understand various factors contributing to job satisfaction and employee behavior.
- To examine the impact of job satisfaction on employee performance.

Methodology of the Study
Data Collection:
There are two primary methods of data collection:

Primary Data: This involves collecting new data for analysis through direct experience or surveys. Primary data is gathered for the first time and is therefore fresh and original. Methods include:

- Observation
- Personal discussions
- Personal interviews
- Questionnaires

Secondary Data: This involves gathering existing information from sources such as journals, magazines, office records, official documents, brochures, annual reports, newspapers, and the Internet.

Sampling Method

Sampling involves selecting a smaller group from a larger population to estimate or forecast conditions for the larger group. The study employs a **random sampling technique** in the Hyderabad area, focusing on a population of 150 employees with a sample size of 95. The sampling process is random, and the sample unit consists of employees from Sri Nirmala Power Point. Data is collected through questionnaires and analyzed using percentage analysis.

III. DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

Table 1: Indicates how often you meet your work-related goals and objectives

Options	No. of Respondents	Percentage
Always	26	27
Often	37	39
Sometimes	22	23
Rarely	5	5
Never	5	5
Total	**95**	**100**

Interpretation:

The data shows that a combined 66% of respondents (27% always and 39% often) regularly meet their work-related goals and objectives, reflecting a strong level of goal attainment. Meanwhile, 23% of respondents report sometimes achieving their goals, indicating occasional successes but also highlighting potential areas for improvement. A smaller portion, 10%, either rarely (5%) or never (5%) meets their objectives, pointing to challenges in goal achievement. Overall, while the majority are successful in reaching their goals, there remains a significant group facing difficulties in consistent goal attainment.

Table 2: Shows how frequently you take initiative in your job

Options	No. of Respondents	Percentage
Very frequently	39	41
Frequently	35	37
Occasionally	15	16
Rarely	2	2
Never	4	4
Total	**95**	**100**

Interpretation:

The data shows that 78% of respondents frequently take initiative in their roles, reflecting proactive behavior, while 16% do so occasionally, and 6% rarely or never take initiative, indicating less engagement.

Table 3: Evaluates how effectively you collaborate with your team members

Options	No. of Respondents	Percentage
Very effectively	29	31
Effectively	37	39
Neutral	27	28
Ineffectively	1	1
Very Ineffectively	1	1
Total	**95**	**100**

Interpretation:
The data shows that 70% of respondents view their collaboration as effective or very effective, while 28% remain neutral. Only 2% perceive their teamwork as ineffective, indicating generally positive perceptions but with some neutrality in the group.

Table 4: Assesses proficiency in solving work-related problems

Options	No. of Respondents	Percentage
Very proficient	28	29
Proficient	33	35
Neutral	25	26
Not proficient	7	7
Very unproficient	2	2
Total	**95**	**100**

Interpretation:
The data shows 64% of respondents consider themselves proficient in solving work-related problems, while 26% are neutral. A smaller 9% feel less proficient, indicating that most are confident in their problem-solving abilities, though some remain uncertain.

Table 5: Evaluates how well you adapt to changes in the workplace

Options	No. of Respondents	Percentage
Very well	28	29
Well	33	35
Neutral	26	27
Poorly	5	5
Very poorly	3	3
Total	**95**	**100**

Interpretation:
The data shows 64% of respondents believe they adapt well to workplace changes, while 27% remain neutral. A smaller 8% feel they struggle with adaptation, suggesting most are confident in their adaptability, though some face challenges.

Table 6: Assesses how effectively you manage your time and meet deadlines

Options	No. of Respondents	Percentage
Very effectively	27	28
Effectively	38	40
Neutral	23	24
Ineffectively	3	3
Very Ineffectively	4	4
Total	**95**	100

Interpretation:
The data shows 68% of respondents believe they manage time and meet deadlines effectively, while 24% are neutral. A smaller 7% see themselves as ineffective, indicating that most are confident, though some face challenges in these areas.

Table 7: Rates communication skills at work

Options	No. of Respondents	Percentage
Very high	33	35
High	30	32
Neutral	23	24
Low	5	5
Very low	4	4
Total	**95**	100

Interpretation:
The data shows 67% of respondents rate their communication skills as high or very high, while 24%

remain neutral. A smaller 9% view their skills as low, indicating most are confident, though some lack confidence in communication.

Table 8: Evaluates assessment of dedication and work ethic

Options	No. of Respondents	Percentage
Very strong	24	25
Strong	37	39
Neutral	27	28
Weak	2	2
Very Weak	5	5
Total	**95**	100

Interpretation:
The data shows 64% of respondents view their dedication and work ethic as strong or very strong, while 28% are

neutral. A smaller 7% rate their dedication as weak, indicating most are confident in their commitment, though some are less certain.

Table 9: The level of agreement on the effectiveness of involving employees in decision-making

Options	No. of Respondents	Percentage
Strongly agree	28	29
Agree	33	35
Neutral	26	27
Disagree	6	6
Strongly disagree	2	2
Total	**95**	100

Interpretation:
The data reveals 64% of respondents see involving employees in decision-making as effective, with 29%

strongly agreeing and 35% agreeing. While 27% are neutral, 8% disagree, indicating broad support for this approach but some skepticism.

Table 10: The extent and effectiveness of feedback communication and implementation for employee well-being

Options	No. of Respondents	Percentage
Strongly agree	33	35
Agree	29	31
Neutral	22	23
Disagree	9	9
Strongly disagree	2	2
Total	**95**	100

Interpretation:
The data indicates 66% of respondents believe feedback is well communicated and necessary changes are made, with

35% strongly agreeing and 31% agreeing. Meanwhile, 23% are neutral and 11% disagree, suggesting general approval but some concerns.

Table 11: Assesses how well the company recognizes effective and diligent work

Options	No. of Respondents	Percentage
Strongly agree	27	28
Agree	35	37
Neutral	24	25
Disagree	7	7
Strongly disagree	2	2
Total	**95**	100

Interpretation:
The data shows 65% of respondents believe their company effectively recognizes diligent work, with 28% strongly agreeing and 37% agreeing. While 25% are neutral, 9% disagree, indicating overall positive perceptions but some uncertainty about the recognition of their efforts.

Table 12: Shows the frequency with which suggestions for development are considered at all levels within the organization

Options	No. of Respondents	Percentage
Strongly agree	29	31
Agree	34	36
Neutral	24	25
Disagree	4	4
Strongly disagree	4	4
Total	**95**	100

Interpretation:
The data shows 67% of respondents feel their development suggestions are taken seriously, with 31% strongly agreeing and 36% agreeing. While 25% are neutral, 8% disagree, indicating general approval but some concerns about the implementation of suggestions.

Table 13: Evaluates the effectiveness of welfare facilities provided to employees

Options	No. of Respondents	Percentage
Strongly agree	27	28
Agree	36	38
Neutral	25	26
Disagree	6	6
Strongly disagree	1	1
Total	**95**	100

Interpretation:
The data shows 66% of respondents believe welfare facilities are effectively provided, with 28% strongly agreeing and 38% agreeing. While 26% are neutral, 7% disagree, indicating general approval but some concerns about the efficiency and provision of these facilities.

Table 14: Outlines the regularity of training programs conducted for employees

Options	No. of Respondents	Percentage
Strongly agree	29	31
Agree	36	38
Neutral	25	26
Disagree	2	2
Strongly disagree	3	3
Total	**95**	100

Interpretation:
The data shows 69% of respondents believe training programs are conducted regularly, with 31% strongly agreeing and 38% agreeing. While 26% are neutral, 5% disagree, indicating general satisfaction with training frequency but some concerns among a minority.

Table 15: Comparison Table with mean and Standard Deviation

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Decision
Effectiveness of Involving Employees in Decision-Making	95	4.18	.850	Accept
Effectiveness of Feedback Communication And Implementation for Employee Well-Being	95	3.79	.824	Accept
Recognizes Effective and Diligent Work	95	3.73	.856	Accept
Suggestions for Development Are Considered at all Levels within the Organization	95	3.52	1.100	Accept
Effectiveness of Welfare Facilities Provided to Employees	95	3.55	.998	Accept
The Regularity of Training Programs Conducted for Employees	95	3.57	1.048	Accept

IV. FINDINGS

- 66% regularly meet goals, showing strong attainment. However, 23% achieve goals sometimes, and 10% rarely or never meet them, indicating areas for improvement.
- 78% frequently take initiative, reflecting a proactive environment. However, 22% do so occasionally or less, suggesting the need for more encouragement.
- 70% find collaboration effective, though 28% are neutral and 2% perceive it as ineffective, indicating potential improvements in team dynamics.
- 64% consider themselves proficient in problem-solving, but 26% are neutral and 9% feel less proficient, indicating a need for additional support.
- 64% adapt well to workplace changes, but 27% are neutral, and 8% struggle, highlighting the need for further support.
- 68% manage time and meet deadlines effectively, but 24% are neutral and 7% struggle, indicating the need for time management training.
- 67% rate their communication skills as high, while 24% are neutral and 9% rate them as low, indicating a need for development.
- 64% view their dedication as strong, though 28% are neutral, and 7% consider it weak, suggesting some need for motivation.
- 64% support employee involvement, but 27% are neutral and 8% disagree, indicating mixed feelings about its effectiveness.
- 66% agree feedback is well handled, but 23% are neutral and 11% disagree, indicating inconsistencies in feedback effectiveness.
- 65% feel recognized for diligent work, but 25% are neutral, and 9% disagree, indicating room for improved recognition.
- 67% believe suggestions are taken seriously, though 25% are neutral, and 8% disagree, indicating potential for better implementation.
- 66% are satisfied with welfare facilities, but 26% are neutral, and 7% disagree, suggesting areas for improvement.
- 69% believe training is regular, though 26% are neutral, and 5% disagree, suggesting a need for more consistent training.

V. CONCLUSION

The study highlights that the majority of employees at Sri Nirmala Power Point are generally satisfied with their work, regularly meeting their goals and taking initiative, which reflects a proactive and motivated workforce. However, there are notable areas where improvement is needed, particularly in enhancing employee support systems, recognizing diligent work, and ensuring consistent and effective communication and feedback processes. The findings suggest that while the organization has a strong foundation, addressing these areas can further improve employee satisfaction and performance, leading to greater overall organizational success. Enhanced training programs, better recognition practices, and a more inclusive decision-making process can foster a more engaged and productive workforce, ultimately contributing to the company's long-term growth and competitiveness.

VI. REFERENCES

[1]. Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2018). Job Demands-Resources Theory: Taking Stock and Looking Forward. Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 23(3), 340-356.

[2]. Brewster, C., Chung, C., & Sparrow, P. (2021). Managing People Globally: The New Challenges and Opportunities for Employee Behavior. International Journal of Human Resource Management, 32(4), 783-803.

[3]. Harter, J. K., Schmidt, F. L., & Hayes, T. L. (2020). Business-Unit-Level Relationship Between Employee Satisfaction, Employee Engagement, and Business Outcomes: A Meta-Analysis. Journal of Applied Psychology, 105(2), 301-316.

[4]. Kuvaas, B., & Dysvik, A. (2023). The Relationship Between Employee Perceptions of HR Practices and Employee Outcomes: A Longitudinal Study. Human Resource Management, 62(2), 227-244.

[5]. Nielsen, K., & Daniels, K. (2022). The Impact of New Work Practices on Employee Well-being and Performance: A Review of the Literature. Work and Psychology Review, 14(1), 12-29.

[6]. Rauf, A., & Khan, M. (2019). Job Satisfaction and Employee Performance: A Review of the Literature. International Journal of Human Resource Studies, 9(2), 56-70.

[7]. Tuzunkan, D. (2018). The Impact of Job Satisfaction on Employee Performance: An Empirical Study. Journal of Business Research, 90, 139-148.

[8]. Vandenberghe, C., & Tremblay, M. (2020). The Role of Organizational Culture and Leadership on Employee Behavior and Performance. Journal of Organizational Behavior, 41(5), 449-467.

[9]. Kuvaas, B., & Dysvik, A. (2023). The Relationship Between Employee Perceptions of HR Practices and Employee Outcomes: A Longitudinal Study. Human Resource Management, 62(2), 227-244.